

Notice of Appeal Under Section 40(1) of Fisheries (Amendment) Act 1994/29.2

APPEAL FORM

Please note that in accordance with Section 40(2) of the 1997 Act this form will only be accepted \$3.5 livered by REGISTERED POST or by hand to the ALAB offices at the following address: Aquaculture Licency. Appeals Board, Kilminchy Court, Dublin Road, Portlaoise, Co. Laois, R32 DTW5

Name of Appellant (Block Letters)

MICHAEL

BARRY

Address of Appellant

Phone No.

Email address (enter below)

Mobile No.

Please note if there is any change to the details given above, the onus is on the appellant to ensure that ALAB is notified accordingly.

FEES

Fees must be received by the closing date for receipt of appeals	Amount	Tick
An appeal by an applicant for a licence against a decision by the Minister in respect of that application	C380	
An appeal by the holder of a licence against the revocation or amendment of that licence by the Minister	C380	
An appeal by any other individual or organisation	C150	/
Request for an Oral Hearing* (fee payable in addition to appeal fee) *In the event that the Board decides not to hold an Oral Hearing the fee will not be refunded.	C75	

Fees can be paid by way of Cheque or Electronic Funds Transfer

Cheques are payable to the Aquaculture Licences Appeals Board in accordance with the Aquaculture Licensing Appeals (Fees) Regulations, 2021 (S.I. No. 771 of 2021)

Electronic Funds Transfer Details

IBAN.

BIC: AIBKIE2D

H-89 AIBK93 104704051067

Please note the following

- 1. Failure to submit the appropriate fee with your appeal will result in your appeal being deemed invalid.
- 2 Payment of the correct fees must be received on or before the closing date for receipt of appeals, otherwise the appeal will not be accepted
- The appropriate fee (or a request for an oral hearing) must be submitted against each determination being appealed.



An Bord Arthomhaire Um Cheadunais Dobha-shaothraithe | || Aquaculture Littences Appeals Board unt thould the + Böthar Bhaile Atha Chain, Port Laoite | chae Laoite | R |2 0 TM

Himminity Court Dublin Rillad Portlading, County Laois, R32 DTW5

Phone +353 0 57863191

et de la www



The Legislation governing the appeals is set out at Appendix I below.

SUBJECT MATTER OF THE APPEAL

LICENCE	APPLICATION	TO5-472A
licence by	bythepronting of an	ture food & the
marine	•	

Site Reference Number: -

(as allocated by the Department of Agriculture, Food, and the Marine)

TOS-472A

APPELLANT'S PARTICULAR INTEREST

Briefly outline your particular interest in the outcome of the appeal:

hifetime Vinsale Horbour user, broat overror, member of Vinsale yacht Club.

GROUNDS OF APPEAL

State in full the grounds of appeal and the reasons, considerations, and arguments on which they are based) if necessary, on additional page(s):

There are multiple grounds that give cause for someon regarding the Minester's decision, see altocked document.



CONFIRMATION NOTICE ON EIA PORTAL (if required)

Asses other inclu	ssment (EIA) is requirevidence (such as to ded on the portal es	ired for the project in que the Portal ID Number) the	(Amendment) Act 1997, where an Envistion, please provide a copy of the conflat the proposed aquaculture the subject 172A of the Planning and Developme information).	irmation notice, or it of this appeal is
Pleas	e tick the relevant bo	ox below:		
EIA I	Portal Confirmation	Notice is enclosed with th	nis Notice of Appeal	Ī
the P	ortal ID Number)		l is enclosed or set out below (such as	
An E Porta		ed in the Application stage	e/the Project does not appear on the E1/	
Detai evide	ils of other			
CVIde	one c			
Signe	ed by the Appellant		Date 23	06/25
			by REGISTERED POST or handed offices	in to the ALAB
Pay	yment of fees must	be received on or before	the closing date for receipt of appeal	s, otherwise the

appeal will be deemed invalid.

This Notice of Appeal should be completed under each heading, including all the documents, particulars, or information as specified in the notice and duly signed by the appellant, and may include such additional documents, particulars, or information relating to the appeal as the appellant considers necessary or appropriate ?

Michael Barry

23/06/25

Woodstown Bay Shellfish have been granted approval by the relevant Minister for seabed mussel farming in Kinsale harbour. This decision is an error for so many reasons that it is inexplicable. I'll be as brief as I can.

1. The application itself is seriously inadequate:

It doesn't deal with the fact that the planned location is not within the Designated Aquaculture Area of Kinsale harbour, or within the SFPA's List of Classified Bivalve Mollusc Production Areas.

It doesn't deal with water quality issues although it is downstream from a major waste water treatment plant that is regularly overloaded by the growing volume of sewage from an expanding Kinsale. The site is also downstream of the intensive agriculture of the Lower Bandon Catchment.

Water quality is particularly an issue when Woodstown Bay have a track record of litigation, including seeking compensation from public funds when Youghal waste water treatment plant was upgraded.

The application offers no clue as to how many per year, or the duration of, any boat movements that will be involved in laying seed or mussel dredging, or how their boats intend to manage interactions with the many recreational boats that use the area.

2. EU guidance seems to have been completely ignored:

Aquaculture facilities with an annual production of more than one hundred tonnes are required to carry out an Environmental Impact Assessment – not done.

European Commission document COM(2021) 236 final on aquaculture strategy states, amongst much else, that:

"Coordinated spatial planning, with the early involvement of relevant stakeholders, is therefore essential."

"Inst like any other activity, the expansion of aquaculture also requires social acceptance (so called "social license to operate")"

"Collecting accurate data is necessary to ensure the appropriate planning of aquaculture activities."

Etc, etc, then finally....

"The Commission invites EU Member States to ensure the appropriate means to implement these guidelines and actions,"

- 3. The licence application makes casual mention of "Previous successful trial licence on Site". Is there any data that indicates this was a "successful" trial? It is well known locally that this previous introduction of seed mussels caused an explosion in the harbour's mussel population. Boat engine water intakes became blocked more quickly; fouled mooring chains sank with the weight of mussels growing on them. The proposed development will produce multiples of these effects; extremely dangerous if a boat engine cuts out in a vulnerable position. It is obvious that mussel farming should not take place in a boating harbour.
- 4. The Dock Beach, close to the proposed site, is an important amenity for Kinsale, used almost every day of the year for exercise, relaxation and therapy as well as swimming and launching of kayaks. It is the only safe sandy beach in the locality and is massively popular for families, local and tourist alike. There would certainly be many more than a hundred thousand person visits each year.

Woodstown Bay propose to lay 500-600 tonnes of seed mussels on the nearby seabed around two hundred million mussels. This mussel, *Mytilux edulis*, becomes sexually mature before it is two years old. They live for 18-24 years and each female releases five to twelve million eggs into the water each year. These become mobile free-living larvae before settling on a substrate (FAO. 2009. *Mytilus edulis*). The increase in the wild population within this relatively small harbour can easily be pictured. Every solid surface will be covered with small seed mussels.

The seed-laying includes the deposition of much unsorted trash and empty shells, and there will also be significant mussel mortality. The swell and tide will deposit a layer of empty shells on the lower Dock beach, as is currently the case for a small number of clam and razorfish shells. But there will be many, many mussel shells which fracture with very sharp edges – well known to cut car-tyres on roads adjacent to the tidal shores of the harbour.

Imagine all the crying children with cut feet.

- 5. The area proposed for dredging is out of the shipping channel, so is a safe training area for dinghy sailing and other water sports, and has been for decades. Who will have priority when the Sailing Club or Outdoor Education Centre are teaching youngsters to sail? An important part of Kinsale's tourism package.
 - The area is also regularly used for yacht/dinghy racing. Are the participants to be told to go elsewhere perhaps not politely.
- 6. There is no space to discuss the nuisance of smells, engine noise and increase in turbidity caused by the dredging activity, or even the destruction of seagrass beds which are supposed to be protected under the EU Habitat Directive..

The decision to approve Woodstown Bay's application is a total failure of governance. There was obviously no proper evaluation of suitability or outcomes. Kinsäle and the local environment have everything to lose and nothing to gain.